

## Appendix A: ITIP Scoring Criteria

The ITSP must provide direction on how to identify and rank projects for ITIP consideration. Project evaluation criteria is vital to the implementation of the ITSP. The criteria will be used to evaluate projects to ensure they meet the objectives and policies outlined in this plan, including meeting legislative requirements and executive orders.

The project evaluation criteria are based on CAPTI as well as the eight goals identified in this plan and the CTP 2050: safety, climate, equity, quality of life, accessibility, economy, environment, and infrastructure. These criteria may be refined before each STIP cycle to incorporate new policies, altered circumstances, and legislation changes.

The ITIP scoring criteria are one factor in project selection. The responses for each criteria question will receive a score based on the applicable scoring range and each project will receive a final evaluation score totaled across all criteria. Each scoring criterion is weighted equally, with a maximum of three points and a minimum of zero points possible. Scoring criteria questions and ranges may be adjusted for future ITIP cycles.

Table 14: ITIP Scoring Criteria

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scoring Criteria	California Transportation Plan 2050 - ITSP 2021 Goals	Scoring Range
<b>REQUIREMENT:</b> Does the project support a facility identified in a strategic interregional corridor summary?	N/A	N/A
Is the project on a priority interregional facility?	N/A	Yes - 3 points; No - 0 points
How does the project improve interregional travel (e.g. freight movement, intercity rail, etc.)?	Safety, Accessibility, Environment, Economy, Infrastructure	Significantly improve - 3 points; Moderately improve - 2 points; Minimally improve - 1 point; Does not improve - 0 points
Does the project demonstrate potential for interregional travel mode shift, including to rail, transit, or active transportation?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment, Economy, Infrastructure	High potential - 3 points; Medium potential - 2 points; Low potential - 1 point; No potential identified - 0 points
How does the project impact single occupancy vehicle miles traveled (VMT)?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment	Significantly reduce VMT - 3 points; Moderately reduce VMT - 2 points; No Significant Increase in VMT - 1 point; Significant Increase in VMT - 0 points
How does the project include and document a meaningful public engagement process to traditionally underrepresented groups (including black, indigenous, and other people of color (BIPOC)), low income, environmental justice communities, and/or their Community Based Organizations) and incorporate local community needs into the project?	Safety, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Economy	Incorporates all needs - 3 points; Incorporates some needs - 2 points; Incorporates limited needs - 1 point; Did not consider community needs - 0 points

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scoring Criteria	California Transportation Plan 2050 - ITSP 2021 Goals	Scoring Range
How does the project impact public health, including from a racial equity standpoint?	Safety, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Economy	Effectively address public health factors - 3 points; Moderately addresses public health factors - 2 points; Minimally addresses public health factors - 1 point; Does not consider factors - 0 points
Does the project make an improvement to an emergency evacuation route identified in an emergency plan/hazard mitigation plan or strategy using an approach that is supported by state/local emergency services?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment, Economy, Infrastructure	Makes a significant improvement with a strategic approach- 3 points; Makes a moderate improvement - 2 points; Makes a minimal improvement - 1 point; Not an emergency evacuation route - 0 points
Does the project reduce fatalities and severe injuries for all users in alignment with the Safe Systems approach?	Safety, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Quality of Life, Infrastructure	Significantly reduce - 3 points; Moderately reduce - 2 points; Does not increase or reduce - 1 point; Increases - 0 points
Does the project include and/or improve access to zero emission charging or fueling infrastructure?	Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Economy, Infrastructure	Substantial zero emission charging or fueling infrastructural improvements/including installation of new equipment - 3 points; The project includes moderate improvements/access to ZEV infrastructure - 2 points; The project minimally addresses ZEV infrastructural needs - 1 point; Does not address ZEV infrastructure - 0 points

Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Scoring Criteria	California Transportation Plan 2050 - ITSP 2021 Goals	Scoring Range
Does the project improve climate adaptation and resiliency by addressing one or more climate risk(s) identified in the Caltrans District Vulnerability Assessments and Adaptation Priority Reports or a regional or local climate change adaptation plan?	Climate, Equity, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment	Identifies climate risk(s) to the system and significantly improves resiliency and adaptation - 3 points; Identifies climate risk(s) to the system and adequately improves resiliency and adaptation - 2 points; Minimally identifies/addressed resiliency and adaptation needs - 1 point; Does not consider climate change resiliency and adaptation - 0 points
Does the project minimize the impact on natural resources and ecosystems?	Climate, Equity, Environment, Quality of Life, Environment	Significant positive benefits - 3 points; Moderate positive benefits - 2 points; Minimal positive benefits - 1 point; Negative impacts – 0 points
Does the project leverage SHOPP investment or other maintenance or rehabilitation funds for the purpose of maintaining or rehabilitating assets in fair or poor condition within the project limits?	Safety, Climate, Equity, Accessibility, Environment, Environment, Economy, Infrastructure	Leverages significant investment from SHOPP and/or other funding sources for rehabilitating/maintaining assets - 3 points; Leverages some investment from SHOPP and/or other funding sources for rehabilitating/maintaining assets - 2 points; Leverages minimal investment from SHOPP/other sources for rehabilitating/maintaining assets - 1 point; No rehabilitating/maintaining investments for assets - 0 points
Does the project leverage partner funds?	Infrastructure	Greater than 50% of project OR of RTIP funds made available - 3 points; Between 26% and 50% of project OR of RTIP funds made available - 2 points; Between 5% and 25% of project OR of RTIP funds made available - 1 point; less than 5% of project OR of RTIP funds made available - 0 points
How does the project impact the economy?	Economy	Significant positive impact - 3 points; Moderate positive impact - 2 points; Minimal positive impact - 1 point; No impact or negative impact - 0 points